

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,878	09/30/2003	William M. Smith	74088-002	6791
29493 HUSCH & FP	7590 04/24/2007 PENBERGER LLC		EXAMINER	
190 CAROND	HUSCH & EPPENBERGER, LLC 190 CARONDELET PLAZA		LOWE, MICHAEL S	
SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS, M	O 63105-3441		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01. 20010, 1			3652	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/675,878		SMITH, WILLIAM M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. Scott Lowe	3652	

	M. Scott Lowe	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fortheter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NC	f, will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	-	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		•	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ded below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 24-27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a N sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (last Disclosure Statement(s). (last Disclosure Statement(s)).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1	
	SUPER	PATRICK MACKEY VISORY PATENT EXA	MINER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations of claim 1 require further search and/or consideration.